From: john.keith@oacd.org
To: john.keith@oacd.org

Subject: IMPORTANT: Requesting your input for the OACD November Meeting with a five minute survey

Date: Tuesday, September 4, 2018 1:48:10 PM

Attachments: OACD Structure and Framework Assessment Survey 09 04 18v2.pdf

DMs and Board Members,

As a means to guide the discussion of The Oregon Association of Conservation Districts (OACD) structure and framework at the Strategic Planning Meeting this November, OACD is soliciting input from Districts surround the best options to facilitate communication between districts and provide a conduit to OACD to represent your interests.

The survey should only take five minutes and can be accessed vis this link:

Click Here to Access Survey

We are requesting response from any and all DMs and/or Board members, as well as interested SWCD staff, by October 15, 2018. But I would encourage everyone to take it now so you don't forget or have to spend time searching for this email. A hard copy of the survey to facilitate group discussions at your September board meetings is also attached for your use and reference.

As we hope you have noticed, over the past two years OACD has been making strides in assessing the organization's effectiveness in serving the needs of every soil and water conservation district in Oregon in enhancing communication via conference calls and email communications, updating the By-Laws, completion of the OACD Strategic Plan, reviving the November Meeting, and election of new officers. However, these strides fall short in their accomplishment if they do not lead to the emergence of a new OACD the sustainably represents and adds value to Districts. So this is a good time to check back with the membership and take a pulse check.

The OACD Board strongly believes the ability to connect to its grass roots – the individual Directors and Staff that run and operate each District – is vital. We

are aware that the original strengths of our current basin structure have waned over the years. The reasons for this are many, but it is the outcome that we are concerned about — Districts no longer have a consistent and known pathway to have their needs aired and addressed. As such, we must identify the pros and cons of our current basin structure and communication process. The end goal must be to:

- ✓ Add value to Districts, both statewide and regionally
- ✓ Earn increased commitment from Districts
- ✓ Decrease time spent in travel and the overall communication effort needed to be engaged
- ✓ Improve efficiency and promote positive action all affected Districts that share a common concern
- ✓ Ensure that time spent by Districts talking to other Districts primarily relates to natural resource opportunities that are shared among Districts
- ✓ Districts feel the time is well spent, adds value to their existences and motivates Districts to take leadership positions in OACD's structure and that their experience leads to individual

growth and satisfaction, which leads to continued and increased grass roots participation in OACD.

Thank you in advance in providing important input to making your association strong and more responsive. If you run into problems with the link or survey, or just have some questions, please feel free to give me a call or send an email.

John Keith Executive Director Oregon Association of Conservation Districts 503-706-8450 Serving the Districts: Assessing the Structure and Framework of OACD

Over the past two years, the Oregon Association of Conservation Districts (OACD) has been making strides in assessing the organization's effectiveness in serving the needs of every soil and water conservation district (District) in Oregon in enhancing communication via conference calls and email communications, updating the By-Laws, completion of the OACD Strategic Plan, reviving the November meeting, and election of new officers.

However, these strides fall short in their accomplishment if they do not lead to the emergence of a new OACD sustainably represents and adds value to Districts. Key to this is OACD's ability to connect to its grass roots – the individual Directors and Staff that run and operate each District. We are aware that the original strengths of our current basin structure have waned over the years. The reasons for this are many, but it is the outcome that we are concerned about – Districts no longer have a consistent and known pathway to have their needs aired and addressed. As such, we must identify the pros and cons of our current basin structure and communication process. The end goal must be to:

- Add value to Districts, both statewide and regionally,
- Earn increased commitment from Districts,
- Decrease time spent in travel and the overall communication effort needed to be engaged,
- · Improve efficiency and promote positive action all affected Districts that share a common concern,
- Ensure that time spent by Districts talking to other Districts primarily relates to natural resource opportunities that are shared among Districts, and
- Districts feel the time is well spent, adds value to their existences and motivates Districts to take leadership positions in OACD's structure and that their experience leads to individual growth and satisfaction, which leads to continued and increased grass roots participation in OACD.

As a means to guide the discussion on OACD structure and framework at the Fall Strategic Planning Meeting this November, OACD is soliciting input from Districts by asking the questions below.

| | Promoting positive action with stakeholders and partners | Enhancing public education and awareness building |
|----|---|---|
| | Resolving SWCD issues and concerns | Fostering communications, collaboration, and cooperat |
| | Advocating for SWCD | Our district hasn't received any benefits from SWCD in past two years |
| | Other (please specify) | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | tricts on problems or opportunities that you have in |
| CO | mmon? Please select all that apply. | |
| | Improved efficiency | |
| | Learn of new or best practices | |
| | Sharing of resources or staff | |
| | Coordinate/collaborate on projects or planning efforts | |
| | Relationship building | |
| | Communication | |
| | Our district doesn't work with other districts on problems or opportunities | |
| | Other (please specify) | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| | Monthly conference calls |
|---|---|
| | Periodic news emails or newsletters |
| | Basin meetings |
| | Issue specific meetings/conference calls (e.g., Sage Grouse, Juniper, Ag Heritage, etc.) |
| | Annual Meetings |
| | Policy Resolutions |
| | Surveys and requests for input into issues and/or legislation |
| | does OACD's current basin structure meet your District's needs? A 0 means "not at all," an "extremely well." 100 |
| 0 5. How well | "extremely well." 100 does OACD's current Board structure meet your District's needs? A 0 means "not at all," ar |
| 0 5. How well | |
| 100 means 0 5. How well 100 means 0 6. In your op | "extremely well." 100 does OACD's current Board structure meet your District's needs? A 0 means "not at all," ar "extremely well." 100 Dinion, how relevant is the current basin structure to effectively enable communication between input to OACD? |
| 100 means 0 5. How well 100 means 0 6. In your op | "extremely well." 100 does OACD's current Board structure meet your District's needs? A 0 means "not at all," ar "extremely well." 100 Dinion, how relevant is the current basin structure to effectively enable communication between input to OACD? |
| 100 means 0 5. How well 100 means 0 6. In your op Districts and | "extremely well." 100 does OACD's current Board structure meet your District's needs? A 0 means "not at all," ar "extremely well." 100 Dinion, how relevant is the current basin structure to effectively enable communication between input to OACD? |

| inp | |
|------|--|
| | As-needed resource issue resolution teams (e.g., juniper, sage grouse, ag heritage, etc.) |
| | Standing resource issue committees (e.g., juniper, sage grouse, ag heritage, etc.) |
| | Self-directed Communities of Practice |
| | Facilitated and initiated interaction by OACD on an as needed basis |
| | Redraw basin boundaries (e.g. resource issues - riparian, upland, wildlife habitat, etc. within similar drainages.) |
| | Ad Hoc: Districts self direct collaboration and interaction |
| | None of the above |
| | Other (please specify) |
| | |
| | Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns about how OACD can serve your district ectively? |
| effe | |
| effe | ectively? |
| effe | From what perspective are you responding to this survey? |
| effe | From what perspective are you responding to this survey? Individual SWCD District Manager or Executive Director |
| effe | From what perspective are you responding to this survey? Individual SWCD District Manager or Executive Director Individual SWCD Board Member at your district |
| effe | From what perspective are you responding to this survey? Individual SWCD District Manager or Executive Director Individual SWCD Board Member at your district SWCD Staff |
| effe | From what perspective are you responding to this survey? Individual SWCD District Manager or Executive Director Individual SWCD Board Member at your district SWCD Staff |
| effe | From what perspective are you responding to this survey? Individual SWCD District Manager or Executive Director Individual SWCD Board Member at your district SWCD Staff |